Audit and Risk Committee Self-Assessment



| Question | Answer | Comment |
|---|------------------------------|---|
| Q1 Do you understand and agree with the functions of the Audit and Risk Committee (Committee) as detailed in the Committee's Terms of Reference | 4x Yes | Nil |
| Q2 Does the Committee collectively have sufficient skills, experience, time, and resources to undertake it duties? | 3x Yes | Rarely does more than note reports It will be important to ensure continuity of the committee and that the new chairman has exposure/experience in local government audit committees. |
| Q3 Does the Committee work constructively as a team and work well with others attending the meetings? | 4x Yes | Note that one member (an EM) has been absent for several meetings - unable to really comment on that person as a result. |
| Q4 Does the relationship between Committee Members strike the right balance between challenge and mutuality? | 4x Yes | Never seen challenge only regular requests to improve reporting. Everyone has the opportunity to present their views and ask questions. |
| Q5 Do the meetings arrangements enhance the Committee's effectiveness (eg frequency, timing, duration, venue and format) to allow sufficient time for the discussion of the agenda items? | 2x Yes 1x Usually 1xNo | Sometimes very large number of pages Sometimes the meeting is very full earlier items can absorb more time than the latter as a result but this is not a common problem. Insufficient time and scant discussion of complex documentation |

| Question | Answer | Comment |
|--|------------------------------------|---|
| | | There are times when meetings run hard to time but that is to be expected. Frequency is adequate however at times some issues/items appear to go to Council first and Audit Committee seems to simply be a "rubber stamp". |
| Are the reports for each meeting sufficiently comprehensive and yet understandable for you to make informed decisions? | 1x Yes 2 x No 1x needs improvement | Can perhaps benefit from more focused discussion and analysis to enhance the value of the ARC in the decision-making process as per recent feedback (last few months). Often incomprehensible Too much paper. Too much detail. What goes to Council and/or the public does not need to be the same a coming to audit committee. Quarterly business plan updates are a key example and hopefully the proposed dashboard report considerably reduces the length and detail (sometimes unnecessary) of the report. |
| Q7 Are the presentations presented in an interesting and help way to inform members about various issues?` | 3x Yes 1xNo | Too many power point and graphics too little information (often typos as in this question) |
| Q8 Are effective minutes prepared, distributed and followed up? | 4x Yes | Good job Anita |

| Question | Answer | Comment |
|---|-----------------------|---|
| Q9 Do you believe the Committee is efficient and effective? | 3x Yes 1 x Usually | Efficient but not particularly effective |
| Q10 Do staff and members conduct themselves in accordance with high standards of the Behavioural Standards? | 4x Yes | |
| Q11 Does the Presiding Member prepare for and conduct meetings appropriately? | 4x Yes | |
| Q12 Do the members of the Committee prepare for meetings appropriately? | 4x Yes | Papers read, good questions from all members and constructive contributions. |
| Q13 Does the Committee assure itself that the Executive takes responsibility for risk identification and control, and gives formal assurance through reporting that key risks are being adequately managed? | 1xMosty | Would like more on Risk. In parts We could do with more focus on risk reports and possible updates on high risk items including legal action |
| Q14 Is the Committee satisfied that there is an effective program in place to ensure that risk management becomes an integral part of the way in which Council conducts business? | 1x Mostly 1xYes | Effective - but can be improved of course - this is always a work in progress. lots of external reports but no clarity about impact, management or follow up |
| Q15 Are the internal audit findings and recommendations responded to in a timely and appropriate manner? | 1x Mostly 1 x Yes | Would benefit from a refresh from time to time - some can stay in a state of progress for some time and situation changes so it's always good to revisit these. High risk items seem to be attended to within a reasonable timeframe but sometimes reasons |

| Question | Answer | Comment |
|---|---------|--|
| | | for extending self imposed deadlines not quite so clear |
| Q16 Does the Committee review and discuss the external auditor's management letter? | 4x Yes | |
| Q17 Is the Committee effective in reviewing and monitoring the relationship with the external auditor | 4 x Yes | |
| Q18 Is the Committee effective in managing and monitoring the relationship with the internal auditor? | 3 x Yes | Not aware of this issue |
| Q19 Do you have any suggestions for improving any aspect of the Committee's performance, role, or function? | No | I think the decision to shrink back to 5 members will address what was possibly going to be a challenging quorum issue now that there are 4 IMs - that was my main concern. More interventionist, more forensic High risk items seem to be attended to within a reasonable timeframe but sometimes reasons for extending self-imposed deadlines not quite so clear |
| Please identify any areas you would like training and/or presentations on during the coming year | | Nothing I wonder about a discussion/workshop about the ARC with all of the EMs (ie full council) we did that a couple of years ago and it was very useful I think. No thank you |